	Lewiston, N	Y	Ruthven Park, ON		ł	Rock Point, C	DN	Long Point, ON	
		% HY		% HY			% HY		% HY
1.	83 PUMA(1)	100%	1481 CEDW (7)	84%	387	WTSP (2)	78%	1641 GCKI (2)	81%
2.	40 HETH (2)	95	603 MYWA (2)	93	286	GRCA(3)	91	1633 MYWA (1)	87
3.	30 GCKI	87	286 WTSP (3)	97	246	RCKI (1)	74	1209 RCKI (3)	83
4.	28 SWTH (4)	57	271 SCJU (4)	85	198	SOSP (6)	66	999 SCJU	83
5.	22 BCCH (8)	45	198 AMGO (1)	78	163	SCJU	91	846 WTSP (5)	84
6.	19 WTSP (5)	37	160 RCKI (6)	83	162	YEWA (5)	74	530 BRCR (6)	46
7.	17 GRCA (3)	100	142 SOSP (9)	89	151	GCKI (4)	62	516 BLPW (7)	77
8.	12 MAWA (6)	33	139 GCKI (10)	88	146	SWSP (7)	66	488 HETH	84
9.	10 RCKI	100	121 HETH	97	117	CEDW	, 28	424 SWTH (9)	77
10.	9 AMRO	89	103 AMRO	89	103	MAWA (8)	30	349 MAWA	86
Tahl	e 2 cont'd Most	Common	ly Banded Species,	AFR Reg	vion II	L Fall 2013			
100	Powdermill, PA		Aboretum at Penn State, PA			Mt. Nebo, I	MD	Allegheny Front, WV	
	,							I THEELET I TOIL	, VV V
		% HY		% HY			% HY		, wv
1.	406 AMGO (1)	% HY 75%	169 WTSP (1)	% HY 72%	177	SOSP (2)	-		
1.	406 AMGO (1) 370 RCKI		169 WTSP (1) 148 GRCA (2)				% HY		% HY
		75%		72%	177	SOSP (2)	% HY 78%	785 BTBW (1)	% HY 81%
2.	370 RCKI	75% 35	148 GRCA (2)	72% 70	177 135	SOSP (2) CEDW	% HY 78% 55	785 BTBW (1) 491 BTNW (3)	% HY 81% 92
2.	370 RCKI 316 RTHU (7)	75% 35 89	148 GRCA (2) 27 NOCA (5)	72% 70 74	177 135 125	SOSP (2) CEDW GRCA (1)	% HY 78% 55 90	785 BTBW (1) 491 BTNW (3) 367 BLPW (2)	% HY 81% 92 62
2. 3. 4.	370 RCKI 316 RTHU (7) 305 DEJU (8)	75% 35 89 49	148 GRCA (2) 27 NOCA (5) 26 SOSP (7)	72% 70 74 50	177 135 125 111	SOSP (2) CEDW GRCA (1) WTSP (4)	% HY 78% 55 90 56	785 BTBW (1) 491 BTNW (3) 367 BLPW (2) 278 TEWA (4)	% HY 81% 92 62 65
2. 3. 4. 5.	370 RCKI 316 RTHU (7) 305 DEJU (8) 290 REVI	75% 35 89 49 67	148 GRCA (2) 27 NOCA (5) 26 SOSP (7) 25 AMGO (3)	72% 70 74 50 76	177 135 125 111 98	SOSP (2) CEDW GRCA (1) WTSP (4) INBU (8)	% HY 78% 55 90 56 83	785 BTBW (1) 491 BTNW (3) 367 BLPW (2) 278 TEWA (4) 196 CMWA (6)	% HY 81% 92 62 65 44
2. 3. 4. 5.	 370 RCKI 316 RTHU (7) 305 DEJU (8) 290 REVI 280 WTSP (3) 	75% 35 89 49 67 43	148 GRCA (2) 27 NOCA (5) 26 SOSP (7) 25 AMGO (3) 16 EATO (5)	72% 70 74 50 76 81	177 135 125 111 98 92	SOSP (2) CEDW GRCA (1) WTSP (4) INBU (8) RCKI (5)	% HY 78% 55 90 56 83 83	785 BTBW (1) 491 BTNW (3) 367 BLPW (2) 278 TEWA (4) 196 CMWA (6) 185 COYE (9)	% HY 81% 92 62 65 44 86
2. 3. 4. 5. 6. 7.	 370 RCKI 316 RTHU (7) 305 DEJU (8) 290 REVI 280 WTSP (3) 269 MAWA (6) 	75% 35 89 49 67 43 62	148 GRCA (2) 27 NOCA (5) 26 SOSP (7) 25 AMGO (3) 16 EATO (5) 12 RCKI (9)	72% 70 74 50 76 81 42	177 135 125 111 98 92 91	SOSP (2) CEDW GRCA (1) WTSP (4) INBU (8) RCK1 (5) COYE (7)	% HY 78% 55 90 56 83 83 82	785 BTBW (1) 491 BTNW (3) 367 BLPW (2) 278 TEWA (4) 196 CMWA (6) 185 COYE (9) 136 MAWA (5)	% HY 81% 92 62 65 44 86 85

Lewiston

431-0790

Niagara County, NY Jerald J. Farrell, Bander jsfarrell3940@verizon.net

The 2013 fall banding season completes the 38th continuous year of fall banding at this station. It got underway on 1 Jul with the start of banding young Purple Martins at the Lewiston Station. This is the sixth year for that project. Over that period of time, 384 Purple Martin young have been banded. At the present time, we have two groups of Oct. - Dec. 2014

gourds totaling 24 nesting boxes. The last day for banding nestlings was 22 Jul 2014.

Mist netting got started on 6 Sep and ended on 15 Oct for a total of seven sessions. There were 199 birds banded of 28 species. The season got started a little later than normal due to weather, but otherwise weather was not a problem.

One ASY Purple Martin male (banded 5 Jul 2011) was found dead in a nesting box. Two Blackcapped Chickadees were local recaptures.

North American Bird Bander

Page 166

Again this year we had a good number of children and adults attend the sessions on Purple Martin banding. We had a group of 35 youth who attended a Youth Day event at the Fin, Feather & Fur Conservation Society Club.

Ruthven Park

425-0795

Haldimand County, Ontario Banders: Rick Luckin, Loretta Mousseau, Brian Pomfret,

rludkin@hotmail.com

It was a strange fall banding season, a boom or bust situation for two of our main species: Cedar Waxwings and American Goldfinches. The Cedar Waxwing numbers could only be described as spectacular–we banded 1,481, well more than two standard deviations above the 14-year average of 129 and 819 above our previous high count (662 in 2011).

There has been an interesting increase in Cedar Waxwing numbers at Ruthven since we began fall banding in earnest in 1996. This increase is entwined in the arrival of wild grapes (Vitis riparia) to the site which began slowly in the initial years but jumped dramatically in 2010, as did bird numbers; in fact, you could almost say that you could date the arrival of wild grapes to the site with the increase in waxwing numbers. From 1996 to 2009 we averaged only 45.8 waxwings banded each fall. (Interestingly, we banded only two in 2009!) But then the floodgates opened: 422 in 2010; 662 in 2011; 196 in 2012. These numbers are related to the presence of wild grapes: in 2012 there were none due to weather anomalies in the spring and there was a drop-off (but only when compared to the two previous years). But this year we had a bumper crop of grapes, and waxwings were around in huge numbers starting in September and building through October/November. We banded 196 in September, 1,123 in October, and 162 in the first seven days of November.

On the other hand, American Goldfinch numbers plummeted. We banded only 198 for the season (just nine in September!). This is well below our 14-year average of 538 per fall season and last year's 1,316. What happened!? I do not know for sure but I suspect that they were decimated by conjunctivitis (Mycloplasma gallisepticum), a disease that wiped out the House Finch population a number of years ago and is found in the family Fringillidae. We were seeing goldfinches with infected eyes in March, April and May, and a couple we captured in September were showing signs of it but not nearly as bad as those we saw in the spring. I can not come up with any other explanation as it appeared to be an average food year for them and summer weather was well within the norm. (While conjunctivitis is usually associated with House Finches, it has been noted in American Goldfinches. One report I saw mentioned infected goldfinches in the mid-eastern United States. We have had some of our birds recaptured down there. I wonder if they brought it back with them....) But it just was not at Ruthven that there was a decline. When I questioned other birders and visitors that mentioned having bird feeders, I got the same answer: American Goldfinch numbers are way down. So something happened-something major and my guess is that it was conjunctivitis.



Photo by Rick Ludkin

But there were some other "funny" things happening as well. The numbers of "long-distance" warblers that we banded were all well below the September norm, although short-distance warbler numbers, like Yellow-rumped Warblers, were up (e.g., the 603 yellow-rumps that we banded was our second highest total for that species). On the other hand, most species of sparrows/juncos banded were well *above* the norm. Normally we get the longdistance warblers in September and the other

North American Bird Bander