LAUGHING GULL BAND RECOVERIES FROM SOUTH CAROLINA

By Dennis M. Forsythe

Although many studies of band recoveries have been made for Herring Gulls, Larus argentatus (Smith, 1959; Paynter, 1966; Southern, 1968; Kadlec and Drury, 1968; and others), and Ringbilled Gulls, L. delawarensis (Ludwig, 1943; Ludwig, 1967; Southern, 1967; Vermeer, 1970; and others), no similar studies on Laughing Gulls (L. atricilla) exist. Likewise, with few exceptions such as Van Velzen's study in 1971 on Royal Terns (Thalasseus maximus), few banding analyses have been made on South Carolina Laridae. In a study on gull ecology related to the bird-aircraft strike hazard at Charleston Air Force Base (Forsythe, 1972), I reviewed band records for all Laughing Gulls banded in or recovered from South Carolina. These data lend information on the distribution and movements of Laughing Gulls along the Atlantic Coast as well as for South Carolina.

METHODS

All recoveries of Laughing Gulls banded in or recovered in South Carolina from 1932 to 1970 were compiled by personnel of the Bird Banding Laboratory, Migratory Bird Populations Station, Laurel, Maryland. In the assessment of recovery rates of Laughing Gulls, the number of individuals banded along the Atlantic and Gulf coasts was also tabulated.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1 shows that 24 Laughing Gulls from five states were recovered in South Carolina, with 15 of the recoveries from New Jersey. During the period 1955-1970, about 22,600 Laughing Gulls were banded in New Jersey: this number was exceeded only by the 38,000 banded in Virginia. Most birds were recovered in South Carolina during spring and fall migration with peak numbers in October and November, although two recoveries in June and one in December were found. The peak numbers in October-November were similar to observations on numbers of migrating Laughing Gulls in the Charleston area (Forsythe, 1972). As would be expected for this species, all reports were from coastal locations. chiefly Charleston and Beaufort counties. This may reflect their actual distribution as well as the fact that most birders and banders were located in these areas. A recovery rate of 1 or 2 per cent was found for birds banded in most states and recovered in South Caro-An exception was the 8 per cent recovery rate for North Carolina birds. This may have been because of the proximity of the two states and the accessibility of South Carolina beaches.

Table 1. Origin of Banded Laughing Gulls Recovered in South Carolina, 1932-1970.

Banding location		Total re- covered in						
	June	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Mar.	South Carolina
Massachusetts					1			1
New Jersey	1	1	1.	7	4	1		15
Maryland				2			1	3
Virginia			1	1	1			3
North Carolina	1			1				2
Totals	2	1	2	11	6	1	1	24

Table 2. Recoveries of Laughing Gulls banded in South Carolina, 1939-1970

Banding location		Total		
	AugOct.	DecFeb.	May-July	recovered
Virginia	1			1
North Carolina	1			1
South Carolina	1			1
Florida		5	2	7
Honduras		1		1
Colombia		2		2
Nicaragua		1		1
Panama	1		1	2
Mexico		2		2
Totals	4	11	3	18

The majority of birds banded in South Carolina were recovered during the winter in Florida, Central, and South America (Table 2). Most Florida birds were reported from the Gulf Coast side of the peninsula. Some northward post-breeding movements occurred since two birds-of-the-year were recovered from Virginia and North Carolina in September and October (Table 2).

Thirty individuals were less than one year old when recovered and of these most were less than six months old. Six birds were between one and two years old and one was four and one-half years old. Most birds were either dead, sick, or injured when found, and entanglement in fishing equipment was the most common means by which birds were obtained. Two individuals were shot.

SUMMARY

Between 1932 and 1970, 24 Laughing Gulls from five middle Atlantic and Northeastern states were recovered in South Carolina. Most of them were from New Jersey. The majority of recoveries were during fall migration. Eighteen birds banded in South Carolina were recovered from 1932-1970, and most birds were recovered in Florida, Central, and South America during winter.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to thank the numerous banders whose data made this study possible, especially T. A. Beckett, III and M. Warburton. Also, I am indebted to G. M. Jonkel and B. Sharp of the Bird Banding Laboratory. This work was completed under contract No. 14-16-0008-612 from the Department of the Interior with funds provided by the Ecosystems Technology Section, Department of the Air Force, and a Citadel Development Foundation advanced study grant.

LITERATURE CITED

- Forsythe, D. M. 1972. An ecological study of gull populations to reduce the bird-aircraft strike hazard at Charleston Air Force Base. Report to the Dept. of the Interior. 92 p. mimeo.
- Kadlec, J. A., and W. H. Drury, 1968. Structure of the New England Herring Gull population. *Ecology*, **49**: 644-676.
- LUDWIG, F. E. 1943. Ring-billed Gulls of the Great Lakes. Wilson Bull., 55: 234-244.
- Ludwig, J. P. 1967. Band loss—its effect on banding data and apparent survivorship in the Ring-billed Gull population of the Great Lakes. *Bird-Banding*, **38**: 309-323.
- Paynter, R. A., Jr. 1966. A new attempt to construct life tables for Kent Island Herring Gulls. Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool., 133: 489-528.
- SMITH, W. J. 1959. Movements of Michigan Herring Gulls. Bird-Banding, 30: 69-104.
- Southern, W. E. 1967. Dispersal and migration of Ring-billed Gulls from a Michigan population. *Jack-Pine Warbler*, **45**: 102-111.
- —. 1968. Dispersal patterns of subadult Herring Gulls from Rogers City, Michigan. Jack-Pine Warbler, 46: 2-6.
- Van Velzen, W. T. 1971. Recoveries of Royal Terns banded in the Carolinas. Chai, 35: 64-66.
- Vermeer, K. 1970. Breeding biology of California and Ring-billed Gulls: A study of ecological adaptation to the inland habitat. Canadian Wildl. Service Rept. Ser. No. 12. 52 p.

Department of Biology, The Citadel, Charleston, South Carolina 29409.

Received 12 August 1972, accepted 28 August 1972.