



young/adult ratio and their dispersal patterns in given habitats, and will be invaluable to understand the life histories of the bird species.

Table 3. Longevity records of waders.

Species	Years	Months
Crab Plover	9	2
Grey Plover	8	11
Bar-tailed Godwit	8	6
Kentish Plover	9	0
Lesser Sandplover	20	3
Large Sandplover	10	0
Redshank	12	2
Marsh Sandpiper	6	2
Greenshank	11	0
Wood Sandpiper	12	4
Red-necked Stint	10	5
Little Stint	10	6
Curlew Sandpiper	11	0
Broad-billed Sandpiper	10	2

## CONSERVATION ISSUES

This project is considered to be one of the strongest endeavours in the country to determine the trends in bird migration, to identify conservation issues and problems and identify possible action plans.

The major achievement of the project has been the highlighting of the importance of certain key wetlands and forest areas in the subcontinent. This has resulted in a better understanding of the ecology of these habitats and thereby greater protection of the areas.

The Great Vedaranyam Swamp (now recognised as a Ramsar site), Pulicat Lake and Khabertal (also both Ramsar sites), Dihaila Jheel (a newly discovered non-breeding site of the endangered Siberian Crane), Chari Dhand adjoining the Great Rann of Kachchh and Gulf of Mannar Marine National Park on the southeast coast, are some of the key wetlands which received attention due to project activities. The respective state governments have now included these sites in their future action plans.

## Relative masses of primary feathers in waders

L.G. Underhill & R.W. Summers

Underhill, L.G. & Summers, R.W. 1993. Relative masses of primary feathers in waders. *Wader Study Group Bull.* 71: 29-31.

L.G. Underhill, Avian Demography Unit, Department of Statistical Sciences, University of Cape Town, Rondebosch, 7700 South Africa, and Schweizerische Vogelwarte, CH-6204 Sempach, Switzerland.

R.W. Summers, Lismore, Mill Crescent, North Kessock, Inverness, IV1 1XY, Scotland, UK.

Summers *et al.* (1983) showed that moult scores of retrapped Redshanks *Tringa totanus* tended to increase more slowly towards the end of primary moult than near the beginning. This was partly because the outer primaries are longer and heavier than the inner primaries. Therefore, by converting moult scores to percentage feather mass grown (PFMG) one can make the pattern of increase with time more linear (Summers 1980). A "moult index" that increases linearly with time is one of the underpinning assumptions of the moult model of Underhill & Zucchini (1988), and PFMG is undoubtedly more closely linear with time than the traditional moult score. In order to compute PFMG, the relative masses of the primary feathers for the species under consideration need to be known.

One of the purposes of this note is to point out that, for those wader species for which the relative masses of the primaries are known, there is sufficiently little variation to suggest that a set of average values might suffice for all (or at least most) wader species. The other purpose of

this note is to suggest a standard procedure for determining the relative masses and for computing PFMG. Improved standardisation of methods will facilitate comparisons between species and between areas in the timing and duration of moult.

To date, the relative masses of the primaries have been determined for 13 wader species (Table 1). For these species and each primary, the maximum difference between the average relative masses and the relative masses for the individual species was 1.3%. The consistent differences were for Grey Plover *Pluvialis squatarola*, which appears to have relatively lighter inner and heavier outer primaries than average, and Redshank for which the opposite pattern occurs (Table 1).

The recommended procedure for finding relative masses was described by Summers *et al.* (1980), and is repeated here, with some refinements. Primary feathers in good condition (i.e. showing little feather wear and with no broken-off tips) are needed. The bases of the feathers



must be clean and undamaged. If they meet the criteria, the feathers from both wings should be used. The feathers are labelled, dried to constant mass in a convection oven (24-48 hours at 60°C), and then weighed as rapidly as possible. For waders, 1 mg accuracy is adequate. The feathers start reabsorbing moisture as soon as they cool off; this can be checked for by reweighing the first few feathers after the last feather has been weighed.

To determine the relative masses of each primary for a single bird, add together the masses of corresponding pairs of primaries (assuming both wings were used), and divide by the total mass of all 20 primaries for that bird. If data from several birds are available, the means (and standard deviations) of the relative masses of each primary for each bird are computed. Other orderings of the steps in doing the calculations are possible, and most will lead to identical or nearly identical results. The key point is that the sample size should be the number of birds, not the number of wings. From previous experience, the standard deviations should be small, and the coefficients of variation can be expected to be less than about 2%.

To transform the primary moult score for a wader with moult recorded according to the system of Ginn & Melville (1983) as  $s_1s_2s_3s_4s_5s_6s_7s_8s_9s_{10}$  (e.g. 5554310000) into PFMG, the formula of Underhill & Zucchini (1988) is recommended:

$$PFMG = \sum_{i=1}^{10} m_i p(s_i)$$

where  $m_i$  is the relative mass of the  $i$ th primary, and  $p(s_i)$  is the mass of a feather with a moult score  $s_i$  relative to its mass when it is fully grown. The values for  $m_i$  may be taken from Table 1, and those for  $p(s_i)$  (assumed to be the same for each primary) from Table 2. The example in Table 3 shows that a primary moult score of 5554310000 transforms to a PFMG of 28.1%, indicating that the bird has grown 28% of the primary feather mass (whereas the traditional moult score formed by summing the scores for the individual feathers suggests that the bird has completed  $23/50=46\%$  of its primary moult). If the wader had been a Grey Plover *Pluvialis squatarola*, and the "correct" relative masses for this species had been used instead of the average values, the PFMG would have been calculated at 24.7%, and, if a Redshank, as 31.1%. These discrepancies represent virtually the worst case deviations amongst the 13 species considered, but will not introduce serious biases in the estimates of the moult parameters by the method of Underhill & Zucchini (1988).

Of the 13 species listed in Table 1, 12 represent five of the genera within the Scolopacidae, but all are migrants. Only one plover Charadriidae is included in Table 1. Therefore, the current and provisional guideline is that for migrant scolopacids and possibly charadriids, the average relative primary masses from Table 1 may be used to compute PFMG. Further information is required for other wader families, but also for "resident" scolopacids, such as the African Snipe *Gallinago nigripennis*, for scolopacids from

genera not represented in Table 1, and for charadriids, both migrant and resident. We would be grateful to receive air-dried fresh wings from any wader (including those in Table 1), with a sample of no more than five pairs of wings. Thus, in future, we would be able to update our knowledge of relative masses of primaries.

Relative primary masses for five non-waders are given in Underhill *et al.* (1991, Table 17), and all are different from each other and from the values given for waders in Table 1, which should therefore not be used for other families.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

LGU acknowledges support from the University of Cape Town Research Committee and from the Foundation for Research Development, Pretoria. Unpublished data was provided by the Western Cape Wader Study Group (WCWSG) and J.S. Marks, who, along with R.P. Prys-Jones, commented on an earlier draft.

## REFERENCES

- Ginn, H.B. & Melville, D.S. 1983. *Moult in birds*. BTO Guide 19. Tring: British Trust for Ornithology.
- Kania, W. 1990. The primary moult of breeding Dunlins *Calidris alpina* in the central Taimyr in 1989. *Wader Study Group Bull.* 60: 17-19.
- Marks, J.S. in prep. Molt of Bristle-thighed Curlews in the Northwestern Hawaiian Islands. [Submitted to *Auk* in September 1992.]
- Summers, R.W. 1980. On the rate of change of moult scores in waders. *Wader Study Group Bull.* 28: 24.
- Summers, R.W., Swann, R.L. & Nicoll, M. 1983. The effects of methods on estimates of primary moult duration in the Redshank *Tringa totanus*. *Bird Study* 30: 149-156.
- Summers, R.W., Underhill, L.G., Clinning, C.F. & Nicoll, M. 1989. Populations, biometrics and moult of the Turnstone *Arenaria interpres*, with special reference to the Siberian population. *Ardea* 77: 145-168.
- Underhill, L.G., Oatley, T.B. & Harrison, J.A. 1991. The role of large-scale data collection projects in the study of southern African birds. *Ostrich* 62: 124-148.
- Underhill, L.G. & Zucchini, W. 1988. A model for avian primary moult. *Ibis* 130: 358-372.

