

References

Baillie, S. 1980. The effect of the hard winter of 1978/79 on the wader populations of the Ythan estuary. Wader Study Group Bulletin 28:15-17

Broadway, K. 1979. The effect of avian predation on the benthic macroinvertebrates of the Clyde estuary. Unpubl. B.S.c thesis, University of Glasgow.

Davidson, N.C. 1979a. Decline in the condition of Redshanks during the winter. Wader Study Group Bulletin 26:15

Davidson, N.C. 1979b. A technique for protein reserve estimation in live Redshanks Tringa totanus. Wader Study Group Bulletin 27:14-15

Evans, P.R. 1979. Shorebird research on the Tees estuary, N.E. England. Wader Study Group Bulletin 27:21-22

Furness, R.W. 1973a. Wader populations at Musselburgh. Scott. Birds 7:275-281

Furness, R.W. 1973b. Roost selection by waders. Scott. Birds 7:281-287

Gibson, I. 1978. Numbers of birds on the Clyde estuary. In Nature Conservation interests on the Clyde estuary. NCC:Balloch.

Goss-Custard, J.D. 1977. The energetics of prey selection by Redshank, Tringa totanus (L), in relation to prey density. J. Anim. Ecol. 46:1-19

Goudie, K.J.N. 1980. Feeding by Redshank, Tringa totanus and Lapwing, Vanellus vanellus on the inner Clyde estuary. Unpubl. B.S.c. thesis, University of Glasgow.

Mackie, P. 1976. A short note on Redshank in the upper Clyde estuary. Wader Study Group Bulletin 17:5-10

Pienkowski, M.W. and Clark, H. 1979. Preliminary results of winter dye-marking on the Firth of Forth, Scotland. Wader Study Group Bulletin 27:16-18

Pienkowski, M.W. and Dick, W.J.A. 1976. Some biases in cannon and mist netted samples of waders. Ringling and Migration 1:105-107

Standring, K. 1978. Winter birds of the Clyde estuary: a comparison with other estuaries. In Nature Conservation interests in the Clyde estuary NCC:Balloch

Swann, R.L. 1979. Primary moult of Redshanks in the Moray Firth. Highland Ringling Group Report 3:16-20

R.W. Furness, Zoology Department, Glasgow University, Glasgow G12 8QQ, Scotland.
H. Galbraith, 96 Neilston Road, Paisley, Renfrewshire PA2 6EL, Scotland.

NON-RANDOM DISTRIBUTION IN ROOSTING FLOCKS OF WADERS MARKED IN A CANNON NET CATCH.

by Robert W. Furness and Hector Galbraith

In autumn 1979 some 4500-4700 Redshanks Tringa totanus roosted in a single compact flock on the inner Clyde estuary, Scotland. On 20 October 1979 we caught 1124 (24%) of these in a cannon net. The catch represented a complete section of the roosting flock from high on the shore to the water's edge. Almost all were adults (507 of 521 which were aged = 97%). During ringing 333 birds were chosen at random and dye-marked with picric acid. Subsequent observations showed that most of the flock remained on the estuary until late March and no other Redshanks moved into the area until spring passage in mid-March (Furness and Galbraith 1980).

The flock used a number of roosts in the area, probably depending on the extent and nature of disturbance factors in this highly developed estuary. Usually more than 95% of the flock was to be found on only one roost. On three occasions (22 October, 15 November 1979 and 15 February 1980) ideal weather conditions, combined with luck, enabled very close observation of a large flock at the main roost.

Observations were made using 10x40 Leitz binoculars from a vehicle parked behind a motorway crash barrier less than 10m from the roosting birds at Langbank, Renfrewshire. As a result it was possible to count samples of the flock and record the number of dyed individuals in each group. Counts were made starting at one end of the flock. The first ten birds were counted and the number of dye marked individuals recorded. The next ten were counted and so on. On each occasion the count terminated long before reaching the end of the flock because more birds arrived or the flock was disturbed and some took off..

Results

A null hypothesis that the proportion of dyed birds in the three counts was the same gave expected counts of 56, 33 and 49 dyed individuals. Actual counts were 57, 29 and 52 respectively. The results do not differ significantly ($\chi^2 = 0.7$) so can be combined to give a single sample. Overall, 138 of 1787 observations were of dye marked birds so the probability of any one bird being dye-marked was 0.0772. Groups of ten birds should contain an average of 0.772 dyed individuals. The expected number of groups with 0, 1, 2.....10 dyed individuals can be calculated from the Poisson distribution. If the dyed birds are randomly distributed our observed counts should match this series. Actual counts and the expected number (under the hypothesis of random distribution) are shown in Table 1. The hypothesis is clearly rejected ($\chi^2 = 15.7$, p 0.01), showing that dyed birds were not randomly distributed through the roosting flocks. Instead they tended to occur in clumps more often than expected by chance.

Table 1. Observed and expected frequencies of dye-marked birds per group of ten in Redshank roosts at Langbank.

Number dye-marked in group of ten	Expected frequency if randomly distributed	Observed frequency	χ^2
0	81.8	97	2.8
1	63.1	40	8.5
2	24.4	28	0.5
3	6.3	9	1.2
4 or more	1.2	3	2.7
	<u>177</u>	<u>177</u>	<u>15.7</u>

Discussion

It is difficult to understand what these results mean. The discrepancy arises because there were more groups with no dye-marked birds or with several dye-marked birds and fewer than expected with only one. Pienkowski and Dick (1976) pointed out that cannon net catches may be biased because roosting waders often segregate into age and moult groups. In this study segregation must be within-flock as only one flock is involved. The birds continued to be non-randomly distributed within the roosting flock even four months after dye-marking so the behaviour is clearly stable over long periods of time.

A number of explanations are possible.

- 1) The dyed birds may have elicited aggressive reactions from unmarked birds, tending to drive them to peripheral parts of the roost and into the company of other dyed individuals. This was not seen to happen and there was no indication that the proportion of dyed birds was higher on the edge of the flock. Their roosting behaviour did not change over the winter and there was no indication of disproportionate numbers of dyed Redshank leaving the inner Clyde area. But if this does happen it would have important implications for the use of dye-marking to study population turnover and movements.
- 2) The catch may have consisted of a distinct sub-group of the flock which tended to associate throughout the winter. Examination of wing lengths and moult scores showed no sign of this and field observations confirmed that the low proportion of young birds in the catch was representative of the whole flock, but it remains a possibility.
- 3) The flock may consist of many small groups of birds which tend to associate together throughout the winter.
- 4) Particular birds may habitually roost in a particular spot in relation to the physical surroundings or in relation to the flock itself.

We conclude that roosting flocks are not random associations of birds gathered in areas where they can wait undisturbed for the tide to recede, but are structured organisations. The structuring requires investigation. It may go beyond segregation by age and moult conditions and could involve long term subtle associations which cannot be explained at present. Perhaps individual marking of birds in a small population of waders with a distinct roost would provide some answers to this problem.

Acknowledgements

We are pleased to be able to thank again all those who helped us to catch Redshanks on the Clyde (see page 21).

References

Furness, R.W. & Galbraith, H. 1980. Numbers, passage and local movements of Redshank *Tringa totanus* on the Clyde estuary as shown by dye-marking. *Wader Study Group Bulletin* 29:
 Pienkowski, M.W. & Dick, W.J.A. 1976. Some biases in cannon- and mist-netted samples of waders. *Ringing and Migration* 1:105-107

R.W. Furness, Zoology Department, Glasgow University, Glasgow G12 8QQ, Scotland.
 H. Galbraith, 96 Neillston Road, Paisley, Renfrewshire PA2 6EL, Scotland.

LIST OF MEMBERS - CHANGES SINCE BULLETIN 28

NEW MEMBERS

- BRIEIHAGEN, T. Dept. of Animal Ecology, Zoological Museum, University of Bergen, N-5014 Bergen U. Norway.
 BRITISH TRUST FOR ORNITHOLOGY LIBRARY. Beech Grove, Tring, Hertfordshire, HP23 5NR, England.
 CONNELL, S.A. c/o O.Z. Bluy, c/o Saclant, Via S. Bartolomeo 400, 19026 La Spezia, Italy.
 CANADIAN WILDLIFE SERVICE. P O Box 340, Delta, BC V4K3Y3, Canada.
 EVANS, R.D. 13 Piper Road, Kingston-upon-Thames, Surrey KT1 3EX, England.
 F.A.C.E. Bird Populations Assessment Project, Marford Mill, Rossett, Clwyd, LL12 0HL, Wales.
 GAME BIOLOGY STATION, Kalø, DK 8410 Ronde, Denmark.
 GEORGE, M.J. Flat 7, St James School, Georges Road, London N7, England.
 GENERAL LIBRARY, British Museum (Natural History), Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, England.
 HERBERT, D. Birds of Prey Department, London Zoo, Regents Park, London NW1 4RY, England.
 KELJ, P.G. Molenstraat 36, 5087 BN Diessen, Netherlands.
 SABOT, F. 38 Rue Gambetta, 14150 Ouistreham, France.
 LA SOCIETE GUERNSEY, c/o T.J. Bourgaize, Lillington, Grande Bouet, St Peter Port, Guernsey, Channel Islands.
 TUBBS, C.R. 24 Queen's Road, Lyndhurst, Hampshire, England.
 VALDE, K. 0-14 Stud byen, 7035 Moholt, Norway.
 VARBERGS ORNITOLOGISKA FORENING. Box 61, 432 01 Varberg, Sweden.

Changes

- BROWN, S.C. 108 Sommerfield Road, Bolehall, Tamworth, Staffordshire, England.
 CLINNING, C.F. PO Box 22615, Windhoek 9000, S.W. Africa/NAMIBIA.
 CYR, Mr & Mrs, L.A. 3 Ironclad Road, Scarborough, ME 04074, U.S.A.
 HILL, L.A. 147 St Pancras, Chichester, West Sussex, England.
 KOOPMANN, K. Lyts Blijema 17, 9001 LH Grouw, Netherlands.
 MØLLER, H.S. Ministry of Environment, Fredningsstyrelsen, Amaliegade 13, DK 1256 Copenhagen K, Denmark.
 PIENKOWSKI, M.W. Department of Zoology, University of Durham, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, England.
 RAPPE, G. Cootveld 1, B-9131 Beervelde, Belgium.
 ROBERTS, A.H.N. 110 Haworth Lane, Guiseley, Near Leeds, West Yorkshire, LS20 8NR, England.
 RUNDLE, D. Route 1, Box 257-A, Puxico, Missouri 63960, U.S.A.
 SMALLMAN, Miss J.M.B. 1 Willow Road, Finchfield, Wolverhampton WV3 8AF, England.
 SMITH, K. Bodega Marine Lab., PO Box 247, Bodega Bay, CA 94923, U.S.A.
 TAYLOR, N.W. The Skealing, Veryan Green, Truro, Cornwall TR2 5QQ, England.
 TAYLOR, P.N. 20 Wardieburn Drive, Granton, Edinburgh, Scotland.
 TAYLOR, Dr. R.C. 4 Berkeley Place, Camden Road, Bath, Avon BA1 5JH, England.
 THICKETT, L. Flat 33, Hallam Close, No 64 Encliffe Vale Road, Sheffield S10 3EW, England.
 TOWNSHEND, D.J. Department of Zoology, University of Durham, South Road, Durham, DH1 3LE, England.

Resignation - TAYLOR, Dr. M.

Addresses Unknown - HANSEN, G. (Canada)
 LAWSON, B.D.
 PRATLEY, P.

(We would be grateful for new addresses of these persons)

Subscriptions outstanding

If the following wish to remain on our mailing list a subscription is required now please.

- | | | | | | |
|---------------|-------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|---------------------------|
| BACKX, A. | COOPER, W. | HARTLEY, J. | MCALLISTER, D. | SMIDDY, P. | MERSEYSIDE RINGING GROUP. |
| BERRY, R. | FOLLOWS, R. | HARVEY, W.G. | ST. JOSEPH, A. | WHITMORE, A. | YOUNG, J.J. |
| CHALLINOR, P. | GILL, M. | HOLDING, D. | SMART, M. | WILSON, J. | |