Oystercatcher 29. 8. 72 20. 8. 74 Rk 412365 Juv Skogarnes, Iceland x Wexford 23. 6. 66 3.11. 74 As **52580** Pull Nordland, Norway v Wash 1 i V !! 21. 8. 74 St 542797 19. 6. 69 Rogaland, " 11 $\mathbf{H}^{\prime}\rightarrow\mathbb{R}$ 18. 8. 7% 17. 7. 70 St 546093 More & Romsdal, Norway _apwing x Stansted, Essex nd **F32192** Pul1 27. 5. 75 Niederbayern, FRG 15. 8. 74 11 29. 5. 69 x Wetherby, Yorks 23.12. 7. Hg 5134127 Niedersachsen, " 28. 5. 72 Friesland, Netherlands 5. 1. 7⁴ Ar 1076678 x Belfast 11 Noord Brabant, " x Ipswich, Suffolk 7.11. 74 *∴* 1098753 3. 6. 72 Ringed Plover 11. 5. 72 Schleswig Holstein, FRG v Morecambe Bay 15. 9. 74 Ha 80538354 Ad 7. 6. 72 Jutland, Denmark x Dawlish, Devon early 9. 74 Co 8062679 Pull Turnstone Gardskagi, Iceland v Fife Ness 24. 3. 74 Rk 722566 25. 5. 71 Ad 21. 5. 72 29. 6. 74 Midnes, v Torpoint, Cornwall 14.2. 74 Rk 723832 Ad Vaasa, Finland v Humber 21. 8. 74 Hk A363459 Pull 18. 8. 74 Po F555048 1 Y 20. 8. 71 Gdansk, Poland v Bradwell, Essex Snipe 20.12. 74 Hd 7097785 FG 10. 8. 73 + Galway Thuringen, DDR 16. 8. 73 FG Berlin-Buch, DDR + Warrington, Lancs 1974 Hd **7093556** Braunschweig, FRG 🤲 26.12. 74 Hg 7505960 1. 9. 73 + Antrim Juv + Bodmin, Cornwall 21. 1. 74 Sk 5059952 FG 27. 8. 71 Halland, Sweden Orebro, " x Fermanagh 8.11. 74 24. 8. 74 Sk 5072726 FG + Kent 29.12. 73 x Blakeney, Norfolk 7. 1. 74 + Chorley, Lancs 24. 9. 74 Sodermanland, Sweden 30. 9. 72 Sk 5083831 FG Sk 5085971 Skanor, "Halland, " 6. 9. 73 FG Sk 5087303 FG 16. 8. 73 0.1.74 Ar 20**36831** FG **13.10.70** Texel, Netherlands + Glamorgan Voodcock 8. 8. 58 Malmohus, Sweden x Sussex 31. 3. 73 Jutland, Denmark + Dongeal 9. 3. 65 Go C54485 FG 2.12. 73 Ka 602763 17 31. 3. 73 + Dongeal + Essex 1. 1. 74 Ar 1067849 FG 15.11. 72 Friesland, Netherlands 1. 1. 74 11 + Galway Ar 1110157 FG 18.11. 72 11 11 Ar 1110202 19.11. 73 + Cheshire 9.11. 74 FG 11 5.11. 74 + Yorkshire 7.11. 74 Ar 1110227 FG Curlew : Kymi, Finland HI C152081 1. 7. 70 v Aylburton, Glos. 16.11. 74 Pull Oulu, " 11 + Orkney 17. 9. 74 41 C164204 5. 6. 65 11 11 + Hunstanton, Norfolk 22.11. 7^h 11. 6. 66 HI C182025 11 ... 29. 6. 60 x Wexford 20. 1. 72 Vaasa, HI C85662 Gavleborg, Sweden 11 2.11. // Sk 8021795 17. 6. 72 + Wash 11 17. 6. 72 Noord Brabant, Netherlands x Cornwall (13. 4. 74 Ar 5057724 11 Overijssel, " + Chichester Hbr. 1. 9. $/^L$ Antwerpen, Belgium + North Kent Marshes 15.12. $/^L$ Ar 4046827 12. 6. 74 ⊩x L15756 13. 6. 71 ٢

Little Stint quality

standard of the total of Juy 20. 8. 74 More's Romsdal, Norway v Tentsmuir, Fife 11. 9. 74 St 9288306

Brook Carthering

Dunlin Too many Dunlin were controlled in Britain and Ireland to detail fully. The countries with few recoveries are detailed, the rest are summarised in the table below.

Mo.	5152432	Ad	24. 7.	70	Gt. Ainov Isl. USSR		V			
		200				· ·	v Skanor, S			74
. j.j.o.,	6310349	PJ - Hada	1. 8.	73	Mikoszewo, Poland		v Humber	, A No.	24. 3.	741
Po	G333391	PJ_{ij}	,6. 8.	72	H (1)	*	v Plym	in a second	24. 1.	/1¢
FC	JA0 3079	Ad	29. 7.	74	THE STATE OF		V. İta	. 17.	22.11.	14
4-1.	30 231979		11. 9.		and the second s	. 3	v:Humber		24. 3.	741
Hd	30 2320 84		14. 9.		$oxed{eta} = oxed{eta} oxed{eta} oxed{eta} oxed{eta} oxed{eta} oxed{eta} oxed{eta}.$		v Clyde	:	13. 1.	7.
Ho	3 0291502	FG.	16. 9.	73	H A H A A A A A A A A A	c'	v Monmouth		25. 5.	741
ī.k	920 19 0	Ad			Stokkseyri, Iceland		v Glamorgan		5. 5.	$7^{l_{11}}$
Jg	X2987	Ad	14.12.		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		v Wash		18. δ.	71
Pa	SA257249	FG	18. 9.	71	Finistere, France		V 11		23. 8.	74:1
Pa	SA505378	1 Y	1. 9.		Sidi Moussa, Morocco		v Morecambe	Bay	19. 5.	741
Pa	\$A 526225	Ad	22.10.	73	Banc d'Arguin, Mauritania		v Glamorgan	•	5. 5.	
70	SA542853	PJ	12.11.		II II		v Morecambe		19. 5.	
'nа	SA542966	Ad	17.11.		H II		v Wash		21. 7.	-

Recovered in British Isles

linged	July-October	Nov-March	April-June	Total	
Finland	2	4	-	6	
Sweden	5	27	3	35	
Norway	22	17	5	44	
Denmark	3	2	-	5	
FRG	1	3	-	4	
Netherlands	-	3	1	4	
Gelgium	-	-	2	2	
	33	56	11	100	
•		Company of the Compan			
Ruff					

Ar 1092318 Pull 25. 5. 71 Polder Arkemheem, Neth. (v) Wigston, Leics. 13. 5. 7^{4}

Svocet

Ar 3047555 Pull 6. 5. 63 Vlieland, Netherlands v Havergate, Suffolk 9. 6. 7

Michael Smart

the first indication I had of the 1975 Curley sandpiper invasion was on 24 July when I saw 400 adults at the Monastir salt-pans in Tunision 26 July I saw 600 more, all adults, on the Lake of Tunis at a spot where there had been four on 9 July, nil on 19 July and 120 on 21 July

建立建一。22

At this point I should explain that I lived in tunis between 1962 and 1971, and got quite used to seeing flocks of several hundred Curlew Sandpiper (up to 1,100 in fact) in full breeding plumage during the month of May when they were on their way north-east; numbers on autumn passage on the other hand were invariably much lower - the fir few would appear in late July, there would be up to a hundred in August (though I saw 400 plus on 4 August 1971) and by late September most would have moved on. Except, that is, in autumn 1969, when Tunisia, like most of western Europe was deluged with Curlew Sandpipe and so, naturally enough, having seen flocks of 400 and 600 at two sites a hundred miles or so aprt, I began to wonder if we were in for an invasion on the lines of 1969. Stanley and Minton (1972, "The unprecedented westward migration of Curlew Sandpipers in autumn 1969" British Birds, 65: 365-360), however showed that the 1969 invasion happened in September and was concerned almost exclusively with birds of the year.

So on returning to home territory on the Upper Severn, I was disapport to learn that local observers had spent several days by the river (including the whole morning of 4 August, before, during and after high tide) without seeing a single Curlew Sandpiper, or much else either. The night of 4 August was dark and thundery, the first break in the heat-wave for some weeks ("a thundery trough of low pressure moved northwards over western districts"). I should therefore have been prepared, on taking a quick look at the river on 5 August, to have found some new arrivals, even though the tide was way out. But I had not really expected to find 138 plus Curlew Sandgipers, every one in adult plumage, together with 28 Thimbrel, all settled but calling anxiously and obviously in a hurry to be off; and a Gloucest orshire rarity, a Wood Sandpiper. On closer examination at high tide early on 6 August there were those Curlew Sandpipers, plus 40 turnstone, 40 Sanderling, 20 adult Knot (good totals for Gloucestershire, none of which had been observed on 4 August, a Little Ringed Plover and as a bonus a Pectoral Sandgiger (apparently the first recorded in the county). The obvious conclusion was that the trough of low gressure had grounded all these waders of Siberian origin as they passed over the area; the Pectoral Sandpiper could hardly have come across the Atlantic in prevailing weather conditions - it seems much more plausible to regard it as a bird from Siberia which had got mixed up with the Curlew Sandpipers and others when they moved off south-westwards.

And, as if to confirm this hypothesis, they all disappeared as quie as they had come - the Whimbrel, Turnstone, sanderling and Knot word not seen again, while the numbers of Curley Sanderling and Knot word 83 on 7 August, 13 on 8 August, with only a single left by 12 and 13 August. The Pectoral Sandpiper hung about until 1/1 August. A visit to the next high tide roost down on the west bank was made on 7 August - there was however absolutely no sign of unusual numbers of Siberla waders: Ringed Plovers, Turnstone and Dunlin, all in about average quantities, one Knot, one Whimbrel - but Curley Sandpipers? Sanderli unusual numbers of anything? Nary a one!

Nevertheless, having seen unusual numbers of adult Curlew Sandpipers as far apart as Tunis and Upper Severn in late July and early August, I modestly assumed that somebody somewhere must have been something similar; so far, however, I've drawn a complete blank; juvenile Curlew Sandpipers yes - thirties and forties in eastern England in late August; 60 plus on the Forth; several thousand in Vendee, France, with their arrival date clearly noted as the evening of & September; we've even seen (for the Upper Severn!) good numbers of juveniles in September - two on the east bank on 9 and 11 September, and on the west bank 8 on 6 September (seven of which departed with rings on their legs in the early hours of 7 September). But adults - no; the only remotely promising lead was a Marsh Sandpiper (which after all also comes from the eastern end of the wader spectrum) at Borough Fen on 5 August.

So, did anybody see unusual numbers of Siberian waders, especially adult Curlew Sandpipers, anywhere in the western Palearctic in late July or early August? And if so can they explain why such numbers were around - is this purely a case of weather conditions affecting the adults as they carried out their usual migration, or could there have been an unusually large and early exodus from the breeding grounds, and if so, why?.

Or is the 1975 Curlew Sandpiper invasion a figment of my imagination?

MIST-NETS

Chris Mead

It is now almost twenty years since mist-nets were introduced to the British ringing scene. During that time a wide variety of manufacturers, materials, meshes and net sizes and heights have been used and it seems appropriate, as we emerge from a very difficult period when supplies have been almost unobtainable, to run through the types for the benefit of wader netters. These are listed in a roughly chronological order, and if they are (or may be) available comments on prices and availability are added.

HIGH NETS

- A) Japanese 1.5", 3-shelf, nylon nets. The first type. Flimsy, untethered -(it had not been invented) but used by the first wader netters.
- B) Gundry 1.5", 3-shelf, terylene nets. Stronger than the Japanese material, tethered (at least since ca. 1965). The material is 125d/2-ply and such nets are still being made by Bridport-Gundry. Some 70 are outstanding to ringers and when (if) further nots become available ringers with SAE's at the ringing office will get to hear of them and their prices (likely to be rather more than those on order)
- C) Gundry 1.25", 4-shelf, terylene nets. Made from the same material as B these proved ideal for small passerines but did not take waders at all well. Knox 1.25" nets are direct equivalent.
- D) Gundry 1.25", 4-shelf, terylene SUPERFINE nets. These are made from 75d/2-ply material and their current equivalent is the Japanese nets now being imported. Some ringers have used these nets in daylight and caught waders.