composition of Canada geese with special reference to control of reproduction. Auk 96:234-252.

- SAVARD, J. L. 1982. Intra- and inter-specific competition between Barrow's Goldeneye (*Bucephala islandica*) and Bufflehead (*Bucephala albeola*). Can. J. Zool. 60:3439–3446.
 SAVARD, J. L. 1984. Territorial behavior of Common
- SAVARD, J. L. 1984. Territorial behavior of Common Goldeneye, Barrow's Goldeneye and Bufflehead in areas of sympatry. Ornis Scand. 15:211–216.
- SCOTT, D. 1977. Breeding behavior of wild Whistling Swans. Wildfowl 28:101-106.
- SCOTT, D. 1980. Functional aspects of prolonged parental care in Bewick's Swans. Anim. Behav. 28: 938-952.
- SHERWOOD, G. A. 1960. The Whistling Swan in the west with particular reference to Great Salt Lake Valley, Utah. Condor 62:370–377.
- WITTENBERGER, J. F. 1981. Animal social behavior. Duxbury Press, Boston.

The Condor 89:422–424 © The Cooper Ornithological Society 1987

GEOGRAPHIC VARIATION IN SOCIAL STRUCTURE AND BEHAVIOR OF APHELOCOMA ULTRAMARINA¹

STUART D. STRAHL² AND JERRAM L. BROWN Department of Biological Sciences, State University of New York, Albany, NY 12222

Key words: Communal breeding; group size; social organization; geographic variation; vocalization; Corvidae; jay; Chisos Mountains; Texas.

The study of geographic variation in social structure may reveal flexibility of social behavior in a species and suggest correlations with environmental factors. Geographic variation in social structure has not been established previously for *Aphelocoma ultramarina* (Gray-breasted or Mexican Jay). In this paper we report observations on group size and composition from a population of *A. u. couchii* inhabiting the Chisos Mountains of Texas and compare them to published results for *A. u. arizonae* gathered using similar methods in the Chiricahua Mountains of Arizona (Brown and Brown 1985). In addition, we describe a vocalization found in one population but not the other.

The field work was done in the Chisos Mountains by Brown on 26 to 29 July 1970, and by Strahl on 6 to 13 June 1981. These jays live in all-purpose, group territories that are essentially mutually exclusive. To count the members of a group we induced them to fly across an opening in the forest by playing their calls with a tape recorder. These calls included the common flock calls as well as the *Rattle* call described below. Systematic counts of group size were not made in 1970. Of the 26 groups counted in 1981, half were counted on two to six occasions at least a day apart; the remainder, on only one day. We used the highest of the available counts for each group. Since the groups counted only once were not significantly different in average size from those counted more often (t-test, P > 0.05), we combined data for all 26 groups. The mean group size was 4.5 birds $(\pm 1.14$ SD; omitting birds of the year). We observed six groups of three, eight of four, five of five, and seven of six.

For A. u. arizonae Brown and Brown (1985) reported group sizes in May and June 1976, from five to 13, averaging 8.7 (\pm 2.3 SD, n = 33). In a smaller number of flocks (n = 6, 7) mean group size varied from 6.7 to 17.5 for the period 1969 to 1983. Mean group size was significantly higher in A. u. arizonae in 1976 than in A. u. couchii in 1981 (Mann-Whitney U-test, P < 0.001).

The composition of groups (specifically the number of breeding females per group) was probably also different in the two populations. The *Rattle* call is given only by breeding females of the Steller's Jay, *Cyanocitta stelleri*, and the Scrub Jay, *A. coerulescens* (Brown 1964, and pers. observ. of banded Scrub Jays in California). Therefore, we used the number of birds giving *Rattles* in response to a stimulus *Rattle* in each group to estimate the number of females in groups of *A. u. couchii*. *Rattles* were given in response to the stimulus in 22 of the 26 groups. In no group was more than one bird observed to *Rattle*. In one case a bird that had been incubating left her nest to *Rattle* at the tape, thus confirming her sex, since only females incubate in this species (pers. observ.).

A second type of evidence consistent with the hypothesis of one breeding female per group in A. u. couchii arises from our observations on the number of

¹ Received 20 March 1986. Final acceptance 3 November 1986.

² Present address: Universidad Simon Bolivar, Division de Ciencias Biologicas, Dto. Biologia de Organismos, Apartado 80659, Caracas 108, Venezuela.



FIGURE 1. *Rattle* calls recorded from *Aphelocoma ultramarina couchii* in the Chisos Mountains, Texas, July 1970, one with (below) and one without (above) a terminal flourish.

juveniles in each group in 1981. Juveniles were seen in six of the groups. The maximum in a group was four, which corresponds to a single clutch of a single female. The counts of juveniles in other units in 1981 were 1, 1, 2, 2, and 2+. Although these data are not sufficient to totally reject the hypothesis that more than one female breeds in each unit, they do strongly suggest that most social units of A. u. couchii have only one breeding female. In contrast, in most groups of A. u. arizonae from two to four females attempted to nest each year and in six groups observed from 1971 to 1982 at least two females per group bred successfully in some groups in 10 of the 12 years (Brown 1963, 1972, 1986). In brief, singular breeding typifies the Texas population; and plural breeding, the Arizona population.

These data force us to reconsider our view of sociality in A. ultramarina. Previous authors have viewed this species as differing from A. c. coerulescens in Florida by having larger group sizes and plural breeding (Brown 1974, Woolfenden and Fitzpatrick 1984). It appears, however, that the Chisos Mountain population resembles A. c. coerulescens more than A. u. arizonae in this respect. In A. c. coerulescens groups ranged from two to six, averaged 3.0, and were singular breeding.

In one of its vocalizations, the Rattle, A. u. couchii also resembles A. coerulescens more than A. u. arizonae. The Rattle is a common vocalization in jays and other corvids. It is characteristic of female A. coerulescens (pers. observ. of color-banded birds in California) and C. stelleri (see sonogram in Brown 1964). We heard it from most groups of A. u. couchii, but in 19 years of extensive field work with A. u. arizonae we have never heard anything like it, even in response to playbacks of the *Rattle* of A. u. couchii. Two examples of *Rattles* of A. u. couchii are shown in Figure 1. Unlike the *Rattles* we have heard from a variety of other species of jays, some from A. u. couchii have a terminal "flourish." The figure shows a *Rattle* with such a flourish (below) and one without it (above). The terminal flourish was encountered at several locations in the Chisos Mountains by Brown in 1970 and Ligon (pers. comm.) but was not noted by Strahl in 1981.

The fact that female A. u. couchii responded to playbacks of Rattles by giving Rattles suggests that females use the call as a threat against other females. In a study of color-banded C. stelleri Brown (1964) found this to be the common pattern. Therefore, we hypothesize that the absence of Rattles in a plural breeding population may enable two or more females to breed in the same territory without fighting. Alternatively the absence of Rattles may simply reflect a lower level of intolerance of each other by females. In either case, one might predict that other plural breeding populations or species of jays would also lack the Rattle.

Reports of group sizes of A. u. couchii in the early literature differ greatly from ours. Van Tyne (1929) reported them "already in flocks of twenty-five, or more of old and young" in June. Brandt (1940:70) found "scattered groups numbering up to a dozen birds" in the breeding season. Wauer (1973) reported that "flocks of 5 to 18 are common." These authors may have subconsciously emphasized maximum aggregations casually encountered in the course of field work devoted to faunal studies. Such groups would most likely result from the coming together of two or three social units with their young at a territorial border. In the only other study done by observers who were aware of the likelihood of communal breeding, Ligon and Husar (1974) in May and June observed the following group sizes: 2, 2, 3, 3, 3, 4, exclusive of juveniles. These counts done in 1972 and 1973 agree reasonably well with our counts done in 1970 and 1981, considering the possibility of yearly variation.

It is tempting to regard the typical group sizes of *A. ultramarina* in Texas and Arizona as responses to local environmental conditions. To Brown but not Strahl, climate and richness of vegetation appear more favorable in the various Arizona populations than in the Chisos Mountains, suggesting weakly that the difference in unit size might be purely environmental; however, differences in vocalization, egg color, plumage and ontogeny of bill color (summarized in Brown 1963 and amended by Ligon and Husar 1974) suggest that genetic differences may also be involved.

In summary, we document that sizes of social units in A. ultramarina average smaller in A. u. couchii than in A. u. arizonae and that A. u. couchii is typically singular-breeding, in contrast to A. u. arizonae, which is plural breeding. The presence of the Rattle call, illustrated here for the first time, in A. u. couchii but not A. u. arizonae may be related to these differences in social organization.

The authors are grateful to the U.S. National Institute of Mental Health and National Science Foundation (currently BNS8410123) for financial support of these studies. We thank D. Lemmon for comments on the manuscript. We thank Roland Wauer, Michael Fleming and the National Park Service for their help and permission to work in Big Bend National Park.

LITERATURE CITED

- BRANDT, H. W. 1940. Texas bird adventures. Bird Research Foundation, Cleveland.
- BROWN, J. L. 1963. Social organization and behavior of the Mexican Jay. Condor 65:126-153.
- BROWN, J. L. 1964. The integration of agonistic behavior in the Steller's Jay *Cyanocitta stelleri* (Gmelin). Univ. Calif. Publ. Zool. 60:223-328.
- BROWN, J. L. 1972. Communal feeding of nestlings in the Mexican Jay (*Aphelocoma ultramarina*): interflock comparisons. Anim. Behav. 20:395–402.
- Brown, J. L. 1974. Alternate routes to sociality in

jays—with a theory for the evolution of altruism and communal breeding. Am. Zool. 14:63–80.

- BROWN, J. L. 1986. Cooperative breeding and the regulation of numbers. Proc. XVIII Int. Ornithol. Congr. (1982):774–782.
- BROWN, J. L., AND E. R. BROWN. 1985. Ecological correlates of group size in a communally breeding bird. Condor 87:309-315.
- LIGON, J. D., AND S. L. HUSAR. 1974. Notes on the behavioral ecology of Couch's Mexican Jay. Auk 91:841-843.
- VAN TYNE, J. 1929. Notes on some birds of the Chisos Mountains of Texas. Auk 46:204–206.
- WAUER, R. H. 1973. Birds of Big Bend National Park and vicinity. Univ. of Texas Press, Austin.
- WOOLFENDEN, G. E., AND J. W. FITZPATRICK. 1984. The Florida Scrub Jay: demography of a cooperative-breeding Bird. Princeton Univ. Press, NJ.

The Condor 89:424-426 © The Cooper Ornithological Society 1987

METABOLIC, WATER AND THERMAL RELATIONS OF THE CHILEAN TINAMOU¹

PHILIP C. WITHERS,² RICHARD B. FORBES, AND MICHAEL S. HEDRICK³ Department of Biology, Portland State University, Portland, OR 97207

Key words: Tinamou; water loss; metabolism; temperature; phylogeny.

We present here some basic aspects of the thermal and metabolic physiology of the Chilean Tinamou (Nothoprocta perdicaria). Tinamous (Tinamidae) are quaillike ground birds widely distributed throughout the neotropics from Mexico to Patagonia. The taxonomic status of tinamous is controversial, but Cracraft (1981) includes Tinamidae in Palaeognathiformes. Hence, tinamous are suspected to be closely related to the ratites, although they are carinate and therefore may be more closely related to other birds than to ratites. The metabolic physiology of tinamous is thus of particular interest because ratites have been shown to have considerably lower basal metabolic rates than do carinates (Calder and Dawson 1978, Withers 1983).

Four Chilean Tinamous (mean mass $458 \pm SE 8$ g) were obtained from the Washington State Department of Fisheries and Wildlife in June 1980. They were maintained outdoors under natural photoperiod and ambient temperature throughout the year. The experiments reported here were conducted in late November and in December of 1980 and 1982. A standard flowthrough respirometry system in a constant temperature cabinet was used for the measurement of oxygen consumption rate ($\dot{V}o_2$: ml $O_2 \cdot g^{-1} \cdot hr^{-1}$) and evaporative water loss (EWL: mg $H_2O \cdot g^{-1} \cdot hr^{-1}$) at ambient temperatures (T_a : °C) from -10 to +30. All experiments were conducted during the light portion of the ambient photoperiod (10:00 to 16:00). The flow rate of dry air (dew point $< -5^{\circ}$ C) was regulated with a Gilson mass flow controller and meter. Excurrent air was monitored for O₂ content to $\pm 0.01\%$ with a Servomax 570A paramagnetic O₂ analyzer calibrated with dry, CO₂-free nitrogen (0% O₂) and room air (20.94% O₂). Incurrent and excurrent air was monitored for water vapor content to ±0.05°C dewpoint with an EG&G Dew-All hygrometer (NBS traceable hygrometer calibration). The percent O2 and dewpoint were continuously recorded with a dual channel Honeywell stripchart recorder. Dewpoint was converted to absolute humidity (STP) using the equations of Parrish and Putnam (1977). The Vo₂ (STPD) and EWL were calculated using the equations of Withers (1977). EWL was not measured at

¹ Received 19 May 1986. Final acceptance 6 October 1986.

² Present address: Department of Zoology, University of Western Australia, Nedlands, W.A. 6009, Australia.

³ Present address: Department of Zoology, University of British Columbia, Vancouver, B.C. V6T 2A9, Canada.