

in the absence of any statement to the contrary assumed that the dates were given in "old style," as in the original, and I consequently altered them to "new style." My attention has been called to the fact that this had already been done in the English translation so that all dates in my work quoted from Buturlin (pp. 220-311) should read thirteen days earlier than stated.

Faithfully yours,

TH. PLESKE,

Leningrad, June 1, 1932.

Correction.

Editor 'The Auk.'

In my article on the Dowitcher (Auk, January, 1932). I stated (p. 26) "Arnold has a colored plate of a Dowitcher from the British Museum collection taken in England."

Mr. H. F. Witherby has just informed me that the skin was not taken in England, but is merely out of the British Museum series of skins. I hasten, therefore, to correct this mis-statement. Arnold merely says that the skin was from the British Museum collection but his book being a work on British waders and the skin illustrated coming out of a British collection, I suppose, in the absence of a contrary statement I attributed the specimen to Britain without further thought.

Yours etc.

WILLIAM ROWAN,

University of Alberta, Oct. 23, 1932.