two eggs each, and four with three eggs each were observed. The above is the earliest date of arrival of the birds of which I have any knowledge. This island has now been posted, and the Terns are likely to have better protection than ever before. — GEORGE H. MACKAY, Nantucket, Mass.

Onychoprion, not Haliplana. — As I have remarked before (Pr. Philada. Acad., 1862, p. 555), "Wagler's *Onychoprion* is based upon the S[terna], serrata of Forster; while his Haliplana has as type S. fuliginosa, Gm. The former of these species . . . is in all probability identical with fuliginosa, and is at all events strictly congeneric with it. This being the case, perhaps Onychoprion ought to be employed for the genus; as it is instituted several pages in advance of Haliplana" in Isis, 1832. I now find the case to be exactly as I surmised 35 years ago. The synonymy of the Sooty Tern section of Sterna, so far as Wagler is concerned, is: Onychoprion, Isis, 1832, p. 277, type serrata Forst., = fuliginosa; Planetis, Isis, 1832, p. 1222, type guitata Forst., = fuliginosa; Haliplana, Isis, 1832, p. 1224, type fuliginosa. All three names are thus based on one species, and all bear the same ostensible date; but of actual priority of Onychoprion there is no question, as reference to the dates of parts of Isis for 1832 shows.

The specific name of another bird of the subgenus Onychoprion must be changed from the misspelling "anæthetus" of our Check-List, for we have absurdly adopted a mere misprint, besides failing to observe grammatical gender. Our rules allow us the privilege of correcting a typographical error, as dropping of the s in this case certainly is; and though Sterna was once of common gender, it is feminine now, both by analogy of form and by common consent. The full form of the word would be anæsthetica, as in my 'Key,' etc.; but lest I be accused of wanton 'purism,' I will compound that felony by accepting anæstheta, (Gr. avaísôŋros, stolid, unfeeling, apathetic).

Our mistake regarding Onychoprion is counterbalanced by a reverse error. Having ignored actual priority in this case, we turn around and bestow a fictitious priority upon Sterna tschegrava Lepechin, to avoid using the established name S. caspia. These two names are ostensibly of same date, 1770, in same part of same volume of the publication in which they both appear; and there is no evidence that the 82 pages concerned (p. 500 to p. 582) make a difference of a day or an hour in actual date of publication. Why then drop caspia for tschegrava, except to show how great we can be in little things? I shall continue to use caspia; and so will all other ornithologists, when the flurry and hurry and worry of our Check-List is over. — ELLIOTT COUES, Washington, D. C.

Remarks on certain Procellariidæ. — On reviewing these objects of my early solicitude (1864-66), chiefly in the light of Salvin's recent admirable Monograph, I observe that a number of classificatory and nomenclatural changes are required in the A. O. U. List, besides those which the Committee adopted in 'The Auk' of last January, or then deferred.