by John W. Andrews, Lexington
With the encouragement of Bird Observer magazine, a census of freshwater waterfowl was conducted in early November 1981. The purpose of this census was to determine the manner in which the various species of waterfowl were using lakes and ponds of different ecological character.

This project has acquired special significance with recognition of the growing threat of acid rain to freshwater ecosystems. Acid rain caused by emissions from smokestacks in the Middle West penetrates deep into wilderness regions of Canada and the northern United States. Waterfowl, especially the fish-eating species, may be facing a critical threat to their habitat. Here in Massachusetts, the Division of Fisheries and Wildife has estimated that 38.5 percent of the state's ponds are endangered by acid rain. More than ten percent of these are classified as "critical," which means that their ability to neutralize acid precipitation has been nearly exhausted. Two lakes on the critical list - Lake Assawompsett and Billington Sea - were covered in this census.

For this census, nineteen observers visited 58 ponds and lakes in eastern Massachusetts within the period of November 6-10 and recorded the numbers of all loons, grebes, cormorants, ducks, geese, and coots using these areas. Only birds observed on the water or shores were counted; birds merely flying over the site were not recorded. A total of 5126 waterfowl of thirty different species were recorded. Some interesting statistics for the twenty sites having the largest counts are presented in Table l. Counts by species are presented in Table 2. In order to fully achieve the study


Ruddy Duck
Illustration by John W. Andrews



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { No. of } \\
& \text { Species }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
99.1 \\
0.6 \\
5.3 \\
0.0 \\
98.9 \\
30.8 \\
12.7 \\
4.1 \\
0.0 \\
95.9 \\
0.0 \\
95.9 \\
0.0 \\
95.5 \\
1.0 \\
95.2 \\
30.4 \\
0.0 \\
21.3 \\
100.0
\end{array}
$$

268
Note: The category "divers" comprises mergansers,
coots, scoters, and all ducks of the genus Aytha.
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Canada Goose
Mallard
Black Duck
Ruddy Duck
Ring-necked Duck
Scaup (sp.)
Bufflehead
Green-winged Teal
American Wigeon
American Coot
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Common Merganser
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Pied-billed Grebe
Wood Duck
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Common Loon
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Oldsquaw
Red-breasted Merganser
White-winged Scoter
Great Cormorant(imm.)
Goose Hybrid
(Canada/White-fronted?)
objectives, censusing of a wider variety of sites will be necessary, and further study of the critical physical and ecological parameters for the individual bodies of water must be pursued. Efforts will continue over the next few years. Inspection and analysis of the 1981 census data, however, yielded the following observations and questions as to how species distributions vary:

1. Populations at most sites were heavily weighted toward either diving or dabbling waterfowl. Of the twenty most populated sites, fifteen had a ratio of greater than 20:1. Question: Why aren't there more sites with balanced populations?
2. There was a tendency for a single species to account for a large Eraction of the total population at a site. In Table 1 , the most abundant species accounts for more than 40 percent of the total in nineteen cases, more than 50 percent in fourteen cases, and more than 90 percent in five cases.
3. For many species, the site with the maximum count for that species had severalfold greater numbers of the species than the site with the second highest count. For example, Fresh Pond had 110 Canvasbacks while the runner-up for this species had only fifteen. Question: Is this due to flocking instinct, or is it due to ecological characteristics of the site?

The author would like to thank all observers for their participation, especially Bob Stymeist and Ollie Komar for helping recruit observers. The complete list of observers follows: John Andrews, Dorothy Arvidson, Thomas Athearn, George Gove, Bruce Hallet, Richard Heil, Janet Heywood, Craig Jackson, Oliver Komar, John Hines, Al Levine, John Loring, Edmund Newton, Rosamond Parks, Wayne Petersen, Martha Reinstein, Robert Stymeist, Lee Taylor, Richard Walton.
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